

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,745	09/22/2003	Hiroyuki Matsushima	243019US2	6827	
	7590 01/23/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE S7	reet	NAUROT TON, JOAN			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2109			
	•				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	01/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application I	√o.	Applicant(s)			
		10/665,745)/665,745 MATSUSHIMA, HIROYU		IROYUKI		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Joan B. Naur	ot Ton	2112			
The MAILING DATA Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	pears on the co	ver sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
 WHICHEVER IS LONGE Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the number of the second for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or expected 	ORY PERIOD FOR REPL R, FROM THE MAILING Dole under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing see 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex te, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tire pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this costs (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>25 S</u>	September 200	3.				
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-91 is/are	pending in the application	٦.					
, , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
\\	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> are s	ubject to restriction and/or	election requir	ement.				
Application Papers				•			
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examin	er.					
•			objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)⊠ Some * c)□ None of:							
	es of the priority documen						
·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/665,745.						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached det	alled Office action for a list	. Of the certified	copies not receive	eu.			
Attaches ant/al							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P	TO-892)	A	Interview Summar	(PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-		Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: Restriction letter.				

Application/Control Number: 10/665,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 22-26, 43-48, 64-68, and 85-90, drawn to a method of communicating, classified in class 709/203.
- II. Claims 9-21, 27-42, 49-63, 69, 70-84, and 91 are drawn to a client/server apparatuses, classified in class 709, subclass 203.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the method of group I can be practiced for instance by negotiations of salary over a telephone system. One person could request and respond "in one batch", a salary negotiation, for example, a request, "Will you take a salary raise of 3%?", with a response, "your demand of 10% is too high." A "counterpart" could request and respond in "one batch", a request, "Will you give me 7%?" and respond, "Of course I won't take your offer of 3%.". This can be done over the phone, in a conversation, or on paper, or as in a business method for bargaining.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required and also because the inventions are considered separate subjects for inventive effort

Application/Control Number: 10/665,745

Art Unit: 2112

that would lead to divergent fields of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 2112

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. A telephone call was made to Joseph A. Scafetta, Jr. on Monday, January 8, 2007, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, applicant is advised to make sure means plus function and support for the claims is located in the specification provided.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joan B. Naurot Ton whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 2112

270-1595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9 to 6:30 (flex sched) and alt Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frantz Jules can be reached on 571-272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JBNT 1/9/2007

FRANTZ JULES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER